

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,249		06/22/2005	Masashi Gotoh	274211USPCT	8672
22850	7590	11/01/2006		EXAMINER	
C. IRVIN N			ARBES, CARL J		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3729	
			•	DATE MAILED: 11/01/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/540,249	GOTOH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	C. J. Arbes	3729
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
·· _		
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>herein</u> .	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e

The Office has not received copies of any of the 11 foreign references which are listed in the Information Disclosure Statement submitted on or about 16 September 2—5 and therefore Applicants are requested to submit these 11 documents.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The language ..., and a second step of: bonding an insulating layer from an upper side thereof... is so unclear so as to make these claims vague and indefinite. What, for example is the substrate onto which the bonding occurs? As applies to claims 3-5, 6/3, 6/4 and 6/5 the language... a second step of: bonding an insulating sheet, which adheres to a cover layer through an uneven pattern, from an upper side... also is so unclear and hence vague and indefinite that the claim is held to be thus vague and indefinite. What is meant by this language. Is the "pattern" which applicants claim the same as the "wiring pattern" in claim 3. If not what does Applicants intend by using this term? Moreover what is intended by use of the language "uneven pattern" (in claims 2 and 3) This language also makes the claims vague and indefinite.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luttmer (Pat. No. 3,835,531); hereinafter Luttmer.

Luttmer teaches a method for forming circuit interconnections between adjacent circuits (17) of a multilayered circuit structure. Solder protrusions (13 & 14)) (or columnar conductors) are carried on conductor tracks (or wiring patterns) and an uncured insulating material (or sheet) separates the adjacent circuits (or circuit structures). The insulating sheet is pressed so that the columnar protrusions' heights conform to the thickness of the sheet. It would have been obvious to bond an insulating sheet to a substrate (having wiring patterns thereon) inasmuch having no solder protrusions rather what Luttmer expressly teach.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. J. Arbes whose telephone number is 571-272-4563. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, R and F from 8 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Vo, can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/540,249

Art Unit: 3729

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C. J. Arbes

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 3729